Nerve Conduction Study Findings in Ulnar-Median Nerve Intercommunications in the Upper Limb: A Short Review
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ABSTRACT

Intercommunications between ulnar and median nerves in the forearm and hand are a commonly encountered phenomenon. Clinicians should be aware of these communications for better diagnosis and management of the patient, as the clinical presentation can often be misleading. Surgeons should be aware of these anastomoses so as to prevent any iatrogenic injury during the course of treatment. Furthermore, these intercommunications can distort the findings on nerve conduction studies, leading to misinterpretation and misdiagnosis. In the forearm region, there are two prominent median-ulnar anastomoses, namely, Martin–Gruber anastomosis (MGA) and reverse MGA (Marinacci anastomosis). Similarly, in the palm too, there are two major anastomoses, namely, Riche–Cannieu anastomosis and Berrittini anastomosis. Here, in this review, we would like to emphasize on electrophysiological findings that can be observed in the presence of such anastomoses.
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INTRODUCTION

Median and ulnar nerve intercommunications are very common.¹-³ The vast majority of the individuals with these anastomoses are asymptomatic and go about their daily routines without ever being aware of them. Most of the times, these anastomoses are incidentally detected during cadaveric studies.⁴-¹¹ Moreover, some of these nerve inter communications can be incidentally noticed during surgery.¹² A number of research works on nerve conduction studies (NCS) have also demonstrated that these anomalous anastomoses can be incidentally detected during routine NCS.⁹,¹³-¹⁶

It has been estimated that up to 91% of upper limbs can have interconnections between the ulnar and median nerves.¹³

On average, there are about 2.8 anastomotic connections involving the median and ulnar nerves per upper limb.¹¹

It has been suggested that, in the presence of conduction blocks of upper limb nerves, these intercommunications can provide an alternative route for the nerve fibers to supply the hand muscles.¹⁷

These anastomoses are clinically important and have resulted in misdiagnosis during the assessment of nerve injuries¹⁵ and leprosy and entrapment neuropathies.¹⁹,²⁴

Clinically, the presence of these anastomoses in the forearm and hand has been associated with anomalous innervations...
of muscle or skin resulting in inconsistent NCS findings observed on electrophysiological studies.\cite{21,25-27}

There are also reports suggesting that these anastomoses can be a major factor in inducing complications during surgical procedures.\cite{26}

Intercommunications between the median and ulnar in the upper limbs are of four predominant types. These include two in the forearm and two in the palm. They are presented in Table 1.

These intercommunications can lead to misleading clinical findings and misinterpretation of NCS; hence, knowledge of such anastomoses can facilitate in proper diagnosis and management and further prevent any iatrogenic injury.

Nerve conduction study findings of these four median-ulnar intercommunications have been elaborated on this paper.

**MARTIN–GRUBER ANASTOMOSIS (MGA)**

The MGA anastomosis arises from the median nerve proximally and joins the ulnar nerve distally in the forearm region.\cite{2,3} It was first described by Martin in 1763 and later on by Gruber in 1870, hence the name MGA.\cite{29}

It is estimated that MGA has a prevalence of 19.5%.\cite{2} It is believed that MGA is most often unilateral and present on the right side.\cite{1,30} When MGA is bilateral, it has been linked to Down’s syndrome, which is trisomy of the 21st chromosome.\cite{2,31}

Crutchfield and Gutmann suggested that MGA can have an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance.\cite{32}

Anatomically speaking, in the majority of the cases, the communicating nerve arises from the anterior interosseous nerve (branch of the median nerve), then runs obliquely, and superficially to the muscles of flexor digitorum superficialis or flexor digitorum profundus. It then runs posterior to the ulnar artery before joining the ulnar nerve\cite{2} \[Figure 1\].

Oh have classified MGA broadly into Three types, Type 1, Type 2, and Type 3.\cite{3,33}

**Figure 1**: Illustration depicting Martin–Gruber anastomosis (MGA). The MGA anastomosis arises from median nerve proximally and joins the ulnar nerve distally in the forearm region

In Type 1, the communicating branch carries motor nerve fibers from the median nerve to ulnar nerve resulting in the innervation of hypothenar muscles. This type has an estimated prevalence of 17.3%. Here, on NCS, on recording from abductor digiti minimi (ADM) muscle, and stimulating ulnar nerve, compound muscle action potential (CMAP) recorded would be greater on proximal (elbow) stimulation compared to distal (wrist) stimulation.\cite{3,33}

Type 3 is the least common among the three and has a prevalence of 0.01%. Here, the communicating branch carries motor nerve fibers from the median nerve to ulnar nerve and results in the innervation of the thenar muscles. Here, on NCS, while recording over abductor pollicis brevis (APB) and stimulating the median nerve, CMAP obtained would be greater on proximal (elbow) stimulation compared to distal (wrist) stimulation.\cite{33}

The features of the three types of MGA are summarized in Table 2.

**Reverse MGA (RMGA) or Marinacci Anastomosis (MA)**

MA is rarest of all median-ulnar nerve intercommunications and is estimated to have a prevalence of 0.7%. Here, the anastomotic branch arises proximally from ulnar nerve and then traverses the forearm obliquely to join the median nerve distally.\cite{2} This is the reverse of MGA, hence the name RMGA. This communicating branch mostly consists of motor never fibers.\cite{2}

Golovchinsky suggested that when an ulnar to median anastomosis is suspected, special care should be taken in the evaluation of motor distal latency of the median nerve with a gradual and slow increase in stimulus voltage.\cite{35}
RMGA, also called, MA was first described by Marinacci in 1964. To credit Marinacci, the term “MA” was introduced for the very first time by Hopf. In MA, there are motor fibers from ulnar nerve that traverses across the forearm to communicate with median nerve distally, resulting in ulnar innervation of the muscles of the hand that is usually innervated by median nerve. There have been varied reports on the prevalence of MA. A meta-analysis carried out by Roy et al., in which they studied various median and ulnar nerve intercommunications in upper limbs, reported the prevalence of MA to be around 0.7%. Kimura et al. have suggested that the prevalence of MA was 1.3%. However, a study carried out by Meenakshi et al., in India, revealed MA to be much more common. They studied 100 subjects (200 extremities) and found electrodiagnostic evidence of MA in seven limbs, pegging the prevalence at 3.5%, and suggesting that MA is much more common but underreported. In addition, they found MA to be bilateral in 3 out of 4 individuals who had electrodiagnostic evidence of MA.

Three major electrodiagnostic criteria have been suggested for the diagnosis of MA, they are followed.  
1. While recording over the APB muscle, proximal median nerve stimulation results in smaller amplitudes compared to distal stimulation  
2. While recording over the ADM, proximal stimulation of ulnar nerve shows higher amplitudes compared to distal stimulation  
3. CMAP obtained on proximal stimulation of ulnar while recording over APB, should be at least 50% the amplitudes obtained on distal stimulation of median while recording over APB.

The characteristics of MA are presented in Table 3.

**RICHE–CANNIEU ANASTOMOSIS (RCA)**

RCA represents a neural intercommunication arising from a deep branch of ulnar nerve and joining recurrent branch of the median nerve. This anastomotic branch is seen in palm and results in variable ulnar innervation of muscles of the hand. RCA was described independently by Riche and Cannieu in 1897 from cadaveric studies, hence the name RCA. It has a high prevalence of 55.5%. It is believed that RCA has an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance and usually occurs in combination with other median-ulnar intercommunications. Electrophysiological studies have shown that in patients with mild carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS), typical findings consistent with RCA can be misinterpreted as severe CTS. This, in turn, can result in unnecessary surgical interventions. In patients with prolonged median sensory and motor latency suggestive of CTS, the presence of RCA should be suspected if median motor amplitudes are worse than median sensory amplitudes.

The various aspects of RCA are highlighted in Table 4.

**Berrittini Anastomosis (BA)**

BA is named after Pietro Berrettini da Cortona, an Italian painter who first described the communication in 1741.
BA is also known as superficial palmar communication and is a pure sensory communication.\textsuperscript{[2,3]} This neural communication commonly arises from the fourth common digital nerve (branch of ulnar nerve) at the distal edge of transverse carpal ligament and runs obliquely to join with the third common digital nerve (branch of the median nerve) distally\textsuperscript{[2,3]} [Figure 4].

BA is frequently encountered in cadaveric dissections.\textsuperscript{[7,40]}

BA is reported to have a very high prevalence which is pegged at 60.9% and because of this, BA is generally considered to be a normal anatomical structure rather than a variation.\textsuperscript{[1-3]} BA is said to be bilateral 60.5% of the time.\textsuperscript{[1]}

BA can be broadly classified into three varieties;

1. A sensory neural branch arising from ulnar nerve in palm and communicating with median nerve in palm. This is referred to BA Type 1 and is believed to be the commonest of BA types with an estimated prevalence of 86.2%\textsuperscript{[1-3]}
2. A sensory branch in palm arising from median nerve and communicating with ulnar nerve. This represents BA Type 2 and has a prevalence of 9.4%\textsuperscript{[1]}
3. BA Type 3 has the least prevalence of 4.4% and represents a diffuse interconnection between median and ulnar nerves in palm.\textsuperscript{[1]}

It is believed that BA cannot be studied using NCS techniques.\textsuperscript{[33]} There are two major reasons provided for this

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{The anatomical features, prevalence, and NCS findings in MA}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline
Type of anastomosis & Anatomical features & Prevalence & NCS findings & Nerve & Stimulation site & Recording electrodes & CMAP \\
\hline
Marinacci or reverse Martin–Gruber & Motor fibers from ulnar nerve join the median nerve and innervate thenar muscles & 0.7\% & Median & Proximal & APB & Greater \\
\hline
Riche–Cannieu Anastomosis & Motor fibers from deep branch of ulnar nerve join the recurrent branch of median nerve, deep in the palm and innervate thenar muscles & 0.7\% & Median & Proximal & APB & Low or absent \\
\hline
Marinacci or reverse Martin–Gruber & Motor fibers from ulnar nerve join the median nerve and innervate thenar muscles & 0.7\% & Median & Distal & APB & Lesser \\
\hline
Riche–Cannieu Anastomosis & Motor fibers from deep branch of ulnar nerve join the recurrent branch of median nerve, deep in the palm and innervate thenar muscles & 0.7\% & Median & Distal & APB & Greater \\
\hline
Marinacci or reverse Martin–Gruber & Motor fibers from ulnar nerve join the median nerve and innervate thenar muscles & 0.7\% & Ulnar & Proximal & APB & $>50\%$ of CMAP obtained on distal stimulation of median nerve while recording over APB \\
\hline
Riche–Cannieu Anastomosis & Motor fibers from deep branch of ulnar nerve join the recurrent branch of median nerve, deep in the palm and innervate thenar muscles & 0.7\% & Ulnar & Distal & APB & Normal \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{The anatomical features, prevalence, and NCS findings in RCA}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline
Type of anastomosis & Anatomical features & Prevalence & NCS findings & Nerve & Stimulation site & Recording electrodes & CMAP \\
\hline
Marinacci or reverse Martin–Gruber & Motor fibers from ulnar nerve join the median nerve and innervate thenar muscles & 0.7\% & Median & Proximal & APB & Greater \\
\hline
Riche–Cannieu Anastomosis & Motor fibers from deep branch of ulnar nerve join the recurrent branch of median nerve, deep in the palm and innervate thenar muscles & 0.7\% & Median & Proximal & APB & Low or absent \\
\hline
Marinacci or reverse Martin–Gruber & Motor fibers from ulnar nerve join the median nerve and innervate thenar muscles & 0.7\% & Median & Distal & APB & Lesser \\
\hline
Riche–Cannieu Anastomosis & Motor fibers from deep branch of ulnar nerve join the recurrent branch of median nerve, deep in the palm and innervate thenar muscles & 0.7\% & Median & Distal & APB & Greater \\
\hline
Marinacci or reverse Martin–Gruber & Motor fibers from ulnar nerve join the median nerve and innervate thenar muscles & 0.7\% & Ulnar & Proximal & APB & $>50\%$ of CMAP obtained on distal stimulation of median nerve while recording over APB \\
\hline
Riche–Cannieu Anastomosis & Motor fibers from deep branch of ulnar nerve join the recurrent branch of median nerve, deep in the palm and innervate thenar muscles & 0.7\% & Ulnar & Distal & APB & Normal \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
1. The number of nerve fibers present in the anastomotic branch is highly variable and often very few in number. This hinders any reasonable recording of sensory nerve action potential (SNAP).

2. It is impossible to record the SNAP on only one side of a digit.\[^{[3,33]}\]

However, a recent study carried out by Seidel at al. suggests that BA can frequently be encountered in NCS.\[^{[14]}\] They were able to demonstrate NCS findings of BA in 34% of the individuals that they tested. Out of these individuals, it was found to be bilateral in 9.5%. They believe that low number of axons involved in the anastomosis, baseline interference, thickness of skin epidermis, and callouses might interfere with recording SNAPs.\[^{[14]}\]

The anastomoses as described above can lead to varied clinical presentation causing misinterpretation of clinical signs and symptoms, leading to misdiagnoses and can impact the interpretation of NCS. Knowledge of these anastomoses is of relevance for anatomists as they can be encountered during routine cadaveric studies. They are of significance to neurologists and physiologists performing NCS, as the study findings can be misinterpreted as an abnormality. Finally, they are of relevance to clinicians particularly surgeons and orthopedicians to prevent any possible iatrogenic injury that can result from interventions and treatment protocols.

**CONCLUSION**

Familiarity of these various nerve anastomoses involving median and ulnar nerves in upper limbs is essential to prevent misdiagnosis, iatrogenic injuries, and misinterpretation of NCS findings.

**DISCLOSURE**

All figures in the manuscript were hand made by Dr. Naveen Ravi and Dr. Santosh Wakode.

**REFERENCES**

Wakode, et al.: Nerve conduction study findings in ulnar and median nerve anastomosis


Source of Support: None; Conflicts of Interest: None